

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/727,372

Applicant(s)

SCHREIBER ET AL.

Examiner

Beemnet W. Dada

Art Unit

2135

All Participants:

(1) Beemnet W. Dada.

(2) John L. Rogitz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Provisional Nonstatutory Double Patenting with Application 10/727,428

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 1 of the present application would be rejected under Provisional Nonstatutory obviousness-type Double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-4 of copending application 10/727,428. Applicant agreed and submitted a terminal disclaimer and further authorized the Director to charge Deposit Account No. 503533 the required fee for the terminal disclaimer.